

FILED

AUG 25 2016

TERRY A. HANSEN, Clerk
BY [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

Ballot Argument Signature Statement

(Election Code Section 9600)

The Yuba County Elections Office requires this statement be completed and submitted with the argument. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order provided, and will appear as indicated. In addition, no more than five (5) names may be printed following the written argument statement in the Sample Ballot Voter Information Booklet.

Argument/Rebuttal Filed by:

- Board of Supervisors or any member(s) of the Board: Name(s) _____
- Individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure: Name _____
- Bona Fide Association of Citizens : Name Sutter County Taxpayers Association

Ballot Argument Contact:

Name: Patricia A. MILLER

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

- Argument in Favor of
- Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of
- Argument Against
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against

ballot measure letter Q to be voted on at the (Primary or General) election to be held on Nov. 8, 2016 in the County of Yuba, and hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

	Print Name Clearly (as it will be printed)	Print Title and Name of Organization	Signature	Date
1	James Seif	Retired Military	<u>[Signature]</u>	18 Aug 16
2	Kim Ross	retired public school teacher/LEO	<u>[Signature]</u> Kim Ross	8/18/16
3	Keith Gravel	Taxpayer	<u>[Signature]</u>	8/18/16
4	Lawrence Mentz	Tax Payer	<u>[Signature]</u> Lawrence Mentz	8/24/16
5	PATRICIA A. MILLER	President, Sutter Co. Taxpayers Assoc.	<u>[Signature]</u> Patricia A. Miller	8-25-16

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Q

FILED

AUG 25 2016

BY TERRY A. HANSEN, Clerk

Deputy Clerk

Make no mistake -- Measure Q is a NEW bond.

The nation-wide economic downturn started in 2007 continues to this day. Now is not the time to put more tax burden on you. A new Series D assessment under Measure J for \$26,500,000 issued last spring will show up on your 2016 tax bill for the first time this fall. Now the District wants to add \$33,565,000 more with Measure Q which will cost a total of \$42,823,000 when interest and administrative costs are added.

Proposition 39 passed in 2000 requires a "list of the specific school facilities projects to be funded" when asking voters to pass school bonds. The laundry list of projects proposed for Measure Q is lengthy and extremely general under the heading "renovation, modernization, repair and construction of school facilities." The list does not include specifics as to buildings or campus locations as required. Routine maintenance items such as replacing roofs, flooring, ceilings, electrical, plumbing, and paving should be handled through annual maintenance.

The District has not been good stewards of our tax dollars. Remember the capital appreciation bonds issued under Measure J wherein a \$4.6 million bond would cost \$54 million in interest?

Finally, how will having you pay larger Yuba College assessments increase property values and home prices as claimed in this argument? Not even realtors claim buyers pay more for homes in college districts. Like the rest of it, the District is just making it up.

Vote No on Measure Q <http://bit.ly/NoYubaBond>