

FILED

AUG 19 2016

FERRY, HANSEN, Clerk
BY *[Signature]*
Deputy Clerk

Ballot Argument Signature Statement

(Election Code Section 9600)

The Yuba County Elections Office requires this statement be completed and submitted with the argument. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order provided, and will appear as indicated. In addition, no more than five (5) names may be printed following the written argument statement in the Sample Ballot Voter Information Booklet.

Argument/Rebuttal Filed by:

- Board of Supervisors or any member(s) of the Board: Name(s) _____
- Individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure: Name _____
- Bona Fide Association of Citizens : Name Sutter County Taxpayers Association

Ballot Argument Contact:

Name: PATRICIA MILLER

Mailing Address: 1699 SHARON DR., YUBA CITY, CA 95993

Day Phone: 530-673-6562 Evening Phone: SAME

Cell Phone: 530-218-1861 Fax: NONE

Work Phone: N/A E-mail: chucknpat@comcast.net

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

- Argument in Favor of
- Argument Against
- Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against

ballot measure letter D to be voted on at the (Primary or General) election to be held on November 8, 2016 in the County of Yuba, and hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

	Print Name Clearly (as it will be printed)	Print Title and Name of Organization	Signature	Date
1	James Seif	Retired Military	<i>[Signature]</i>	17 Aug 16
2	Lawrence Mentz	USAF Retired SENIOR Non Com	<i>[Signature]</i>	17 Aug 16
3	Keith Gravel	Tax payer retired public school	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/17/16
4	Kim Ross	teacher/LEO	Kim Ross	8/17/16
5	Patricia A. Miller	President Sutter County Taxpayers Association	Patricia A. Miller	8-19-16

Argument Opposing Measure Q

FILED

AUG 19 2016

BY TERRY A. HANSEN, Clerk
Deputy Clerk

Don't be deceived. Plain and simple -- there is no provision in state law for "reauthorizing" bonds. This is a NEW bond.

State law, Proposition 39, passed by the voters in 2000, prohibits community colleges from issuing bonds where the cost would exceed a property owner's payment of more than \$25 per \$100,000 of assessed value.

Measure J for \$190 million was passed by the voters in 2006. So far, there have been four bond issuances for a total of \$156,432,120.

Series A - \$29,504,047 – Issued May 22, 2007

Series B - \$65,492,278 – Issued May 22, 2007

Series C - \$34,935,795 – Issued July 12, 2011

Series D - \$26,500,000 – Issued April 19, 2016 -- not on tax bills yet.

Because property values and new construction have not happened as anticipated, the District cannot issue more bonds under Measure J because your cost would exceed the \$25 per \$100,000 in assessed valuation.

In order to get around Proposition 39 restrictions, The District is asking you to approve a NEW bond measure. Approval of this new bond measure would create a separate \$25 per \$100,000 tax rate limitation, thus doubling the tax rate authority which, in turn, will increase the amount of taxes you pay.

In reviewing the list of projects to be funded by Measure Q, they appear to be primarily maintenance and routine improvements. There are no new facilities or major programs.

The District promises not to issue more bonds under Measure J, but the new tax created by Measure Q will certainly increase the amount of taxes we pay.

As stated in the Board's June 9, 2016 meeting minutes, the alternative is for the District to wait until assessed values increase to issue the remaining authority under Measure J.

Vote No on Measure Q! <http://bit.ly/NoYubaBond>

JM
~~Patricia A. Miller, President~~
~~Sutter County Taxpayers Association~~